

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/627,412 KMG-001 2844 07/25/2003 Kevin McGhie EXAMINER 27652 04/07/2005 JOSHUA D. ISENBERG BOLES, DEREK 204 CASTRO LANE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FREMONT, CA 94539 3749

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/627,412	MCGHIE, KEVIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Derek S. Boles	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2003.		
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-10,12,14-22 and 26-28 is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,13,23-25 and 29</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 <i>July</i> 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/627,412

Art Unit: 3749

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 17-19, 21, 22 and 26-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grosshuesch (4,513,907). See figs. 4 and 5.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding claims 3, 7, 10, Grosshuesch discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for the sheet of material being made from a ferrous or non-ferrous metal, fiberglass, vinyl, or plastic material, the louvers being arranged in a chevron pattern on one or more of the panels, and each of the two or more outer panels being bent at an angle of about 28 degrees with respect to the inner panel. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Grosshuesch.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,412

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grosshuesch. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of louvers in the opening, and additional panels so that the vent may cover multiple corrugations since it has been held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In *re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grosshuesch in view of official notice, In re Ahlert, 424 F.2d 1088, 165 USPQ 418, 420 (CCPA 1970).

Grosshuesch discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for attaching the vent by riveting, welding, taping, sheet metal screws, or gluing. One skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the heating system of Grosshuesch to include the attachment means.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 13, 23-25 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's representative argues that the office action does not fully point out how the prior art addresses each and every limitation of the claims. The office considered the claims so broad that a recitation of the figures would suffice in illustrating the broad limitations of the claims. To be more specific, applicant's representative is directed to the abstract of Grosshuesch where it recites a corrugated board, fig. 4 shows the bending of that board creating three panels,

Application/Control Number: 10/627,412

Art Unit: 3749

fig. 4 further show openings as illustrated by element 28 and the entire bent board is considered a

vent.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

D.S.B.

DEREK S. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

Page 4

4/4/05